< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

# Dispersion and controllability for linear Schrödinger equations on compact Riemannian manifolds

Nalini Anantharaman

Université de Strasbourg

20 juin 2016

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)

The Schrödinger equation

(S) 
$$i\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \left(\frac{\Delta}{2} + V\right)u$$
  
 $u_{\uparrow t=0} = u^{0}$ 

on a compact Riemannian manifold M.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

### Two questions

- dispersive properties
- observability : under which condition(s) on the open set
   Ω ⊂ M and on T > 0 can we prove an inequality of the type

$$(\mathrm{Obs}(\Omega, T)) \quad \|u^0\|_{L^2(M)}^2 \le C(T, \Omega) \int_0^T \|u(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 dt$$

for all  $u^0$ ?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Microlocal point of view : take  $(u_n^0)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  a sequence of initial conditions with

 $\|u_n^0\|_{L^2(M)}=1,$ 

and denote by  $u_n(x, t)$  the corresponding solution.

Microlocal point of view : take  $(u_n^0)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  a sequence of initial conditions with

 $\|u_n^0\|_{L^2(M)}=1,$ 

and denote by  $u_n(x, t)$  the corresponding solution. We study the "Wigner transforms",

$$W_{u_n}(a) = \langle u_n, a(x, D_x, t, D_t) u_n \rangle_{L^2(M imes \mathbb{R})}$$

Microlocal point of view : take  $(u_n^0)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  a sequence of initial conditions with

 $\|u_n^0\|_{L^2(M)}=1,$ 

and denote by  $u_n(x, t)$  the corresponding solution. We study the "Wigner transforms",

$$W_{u_n}(a) = \langle u_n, a(x, D_x, t, D_t) u_n \rangle_{L^2(M imes \mathbb{R})}.$$

Note that if a = a(x, t), this is just

$$W_{u_n}(a) = \int_{\mathcal{M}\times\mathbb{R}} a(x,t) |u_n(x,t)|^2 dx dt.$$

We study the "Wigner transforms",

$$W_n(a) = \langle u_n, a(x, D_x, t, D_t) u_n \rangle_{L^2(M \times \mathbb{R})}.$$

The symbol  $a(x, \xi, t, H)$  is  $C_c^{\infty}$  in (x, t),  $C^{\infty}$  in  $(\xi, H)$ , and satisfies the homogeneity condition

$$a(x,\xi,t,H) = a(x,\lambda\xi,t,\lambda^2H)$$

for  $\lambda > 1$  and  $\|\xi\|^2 + |H| > R_0$ .

We study the "Wigner transforms",

$$W_n(a) = \langle u_n, a(x, D_x, t, D_t) u_n \rangle_{L^2(M \times \mathbb{R})}.$$

The symbol  $a(x, \xi, t, H)$  is  $C_c^{\infty}$  in (x, t),  $C^{\infty}$  in  $(\xi, H)$ , and satisfies the homogeneity condition

$$a(x,\xi,t,H) = a(x,\lambda\xi,t,\lambda^2H)$$

for  $\lambda > 1$  and  $\|\xi\|^2 + |H| > R_0$ . In other words, outside a compact set,

$$a(x,\xi,t,H) = a_{hom}(x,\xi,t,H)$$

where  $a_{hom}$  is homogeneous.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

We denote by  $S^0$  this class of symbols. There exists a subsequence such that, for all  $a \in S^0$ ,

$$W_{n_k}(a) \longrightarrow \mu(a)$$

for some  $\mu \in (S^0)'$  (microlocal defect measure).

・ロト・日本・モト・モート ヨー うへで

## Question : characterize (depending on the geometry on M) the possible limits $\mu$ .

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Question : characterize (depending on the geometry on M) the possible limits  $\mu$ .

The "dispersion properties" we hope for are of the type " $\mu$  has some regularity / cannot be carried by a set that is too small".

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Question : characterize (depending on the geometry on M) the possible limits  $\mu$ .

The "dispersion properties" we hope for are of the type " $\mu$  has some regularity / cannot be carried by a set that is too small". (Obs( $\Omega$ , T)) can be deduced from the property " $\mu(\Omega \times (0, T)) > 0$ " (for any sequence  $(u_{0}^{n})$ ).

## Propagation of singularities

If  $u_n^0 \longrightarrow 0$  weakly in  $L^2(M)$ ,  $\mu$  is a probability measure, supported on the sphere at infinity in the variables  $(\xi, H)$ . We can write

$$\mu(a) = \mu(a_{hom})$$

for all  $a \in S^0$ .

Equation (S) implies that  $\mu$  is carried on the set  $\{\|\xi\|^2 = 2H\}$ , and satisfies

$$\frac{\xi}{\sqrt{2H}} \cdot \partial_x \mu = 0.$$

(invariance under the geodesic flow)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

More precisely,

$$\mu(dx, d\xi, dt, dH) = \mu_t(dx, d\xi) dt \,\delta_{H = \|\xi\|^2/2}$$

where, for almost every t,  $\mu_t$  is a probability measure on the sphere bundle  $S^*M$ , invariant under the geodesic flow.

## The geometric control condition GCC

## Let $\Omega \subset \textit{M}.$ If every geodesic eventually enters $\Omega,$ then

## $\mu(\Omega\times(0,T))>0$

## (for any sequence $(u_n^0)$ converging weakly to 0, any T).

## The geometric control condition GCC

Let  $\Omega \subset M$ . If every geodesic eventually enters  $\Omega$ , then

 $\mu(\Omega\times(0,T))>0$ 

(for any sequence  $(u_n^0)$  converging weakly to 0, any T).

An argument of Bardos-Lebeau-Rauch (for V independent of t), then shows that  $(Obs(\Omega, T))$  holds for all T, if  $\Omega$  satisfies (GCC).

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

#### GCC is a sufficient condition for observability, not a necessary one.

Negative curvature : the Quantum Unique Ergodicity conjecture

On a negatively curved manifold, this conjecture predicts that all sequences of initial conditions  $(u_n^0)$  lead to the same microlocal defect measure,

$$\mu = d\mathsf{x} d\sigma(\xi) dt \, \delta_{\mathsf{H} = \|\xi\|^2/2}$$

(for any sequence of initial conditions  $(u_n^0)$  converging weakly to 0).

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

But it's not even proven that such a measure  $\mu$  charges every open set, or that it is absolutely continuous in x.

## A-Nonnenmacher 2006, A-Rivière 2009

Denote  $d = \dim M$ , and say  $K \equiv -1$ . Let  $(u_n^0)$  be a sequence of initial conditions converging weakly to 0, let  $\mu$  be a limit of the Wigner transforms  $W_{\mu_n}$ .

## A-Nonnenmacher 2006, A-Rivière 2009

Denote  $d = \dim M$ , and say  $K \equiv -1$ .

Let  $(u_n^0)$  be a sequence of initial conditions converging weakly to 0, let  $\mu$  be a limit of the Wigner transforms  $W_{u_n}$ .

Write  $\mu(dx, d\xi, dt, dH) = \mu_t(dx, d\xi)dt\delta_{H=\|\xi\|^2/2}$  where for a.e. *t*,  $\mu_t$  is a probability measure on the sphere bundle  $S^*M$ .

## A-Nonnenmacher 2006, A-Rivière 2009

Denote  $d = \dim M$ , and say  $K \equiv -1$ .

Let  $(u_n^0)$  be a sequence of initial conditions converging weakly to 0, let  $\mu$  be a limit of the Wigner transforms  $W_{u_n}$ .

Write  $\mu(dx, d\xi, dt, dH) = \mu_t(dx, d\xi)dt\delta_{H=\|\xi\|^2/2}$  where for a.e. *t*,  $\mu_t$  is a probability measure on the sphere bundle  $S^*M$ .

#### Theorem

Then for a.e. t, the entropy of  $\mu_t$  is  $\geq \frac{d-1}{2}$ . As a consequence, the Hausdorff dimension of the support of  $\mu_t$  is  $\geq d$ .

In terms of observability, this implies the following. Let  $\Omega \subset M$ , and let  $K \subset S^*M$  be the set of vectors  $(x, \xi)$  that generate geodesics that never enter  $\Omega$ .

#### Theorem

Assume V does not depend on t. If dim K < d, then

$$\|u^0\|_{L^2(\mathcal{M})}^2 \leq C(T,\Omega) \int_0^T \|u(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 dt$$

for all  $u^0$  (for any T).

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

## A-Macià 2010 : flat tori

Take for instance 
$$\mathbb{T}^d = \mathbb{R}^d / 2\pi \mathbb{Z}^d$$
.  
The geodesic flow is

$$\phi^{\mathsf{s}}:(x,\xi)\mapsto(x+s\xi,\xi)$$

for  $(x,\xi) \in \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ .

## A-Macià 2010 : flat tori

Take for instance  $\mathbb{T}^d = \mathbb{R}^d / 2\pi \mathbb{Z}^d$ . The geodesic flow is

$$\phi^{s}: (x,\xi) \mapsto (x+s\xi,\xi)$$

for  $(x,\xi) \in \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ .

The tori  $\mathbb{T}_{\xi_0} = \{(x,\xi), \xi = \xi_0\}$  are preserved.

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

The properties of the trajectories on  $\mathbb{T}_{\xi_0}$  depend on the rank of

$$\Lambda_{\xi_0} = \xi_0^{\perp} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d.$$

The properties of the trajectories on  $\mathbb{T}_{\xi_0}$  depend on the rank of

$$\Lambda_{\xi_0} = \xi_0^{\perp} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d.$$

If  $Rk \Lambda_{\xi_0} = 0$ , trajectories are dense (and equidistributed) in  $\mathbb{T}_{\xi_0}$ . If  $Rk \Lambda_{\xi_0} = d - 1$ , trajectories are periodic in  $\mathbb{T}_{\xi_0}$ .

More generally, if  $Rk \Lambda_{\xi_0} = d - j$ , each trajectory  $(x_0 + t\xi_0)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  fills densely a subtorus of dimension j,  $x_0 + \mathbb{T}_{\xi_0}$ , where we define

$$\mathbb{T}_{\xi_0} = \Lambda_{\xi_0}^{\perp} / (\Lambda_{\xi_0}^{\perp} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d).$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Let  $\Lambda$  be a subgroup of  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  (primitive, in the sense that  $Vect(\Lambda) \cap \mathbb{Z}^d = \Lambda$ ). We denote

$$R_{\Lambda} = \{\xi, \Lambda_{\xi} = \Lambda\} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$$

We partition the phase space :

$$\mathbb{T}^d \times (\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}) = \sqcup_{\Lambda \neq \mathbb{Z}^d} \mathbb{T}^d \times R_{\Lambda}.$$

Let  $\Lambda$  be a subgroup of  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  (primitive, in the sense that  $Vect(\Lambda) \cap \mathbb{Z}^d = \Lambda$ ). We denote

$$R_{\Lambda} = \{\xi, \Lambda_{\xi} = \Lambda\} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$$

We partition the phase space :

$$\mathbb{T}^d imes (\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}) = \sqcup_{\Lambda 
eq \mathbb{Z}^d} \mathbb{T}^d imes R_{\Lambda}.$$

In dimension d = 2,  $\Lambda = 0$  corresponds to irrational slopes, and the other  $\Lambda$ s correspond to closed geodesics.

Let  $\Lambda$  be a subgroup of  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  (primitive, in the sense that  $Vect(\Lambda) \cap \mathbb{Z}^d = \Lambda$ ). We denote

$$R_{\Lambda} = \{\xi, \Lambda_{\xi} = \Lambda\} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$$

We partition the phase space :

$$\mathbb{T}^d imes (\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}) = \sqcup_{\Lambda 
eq \mathbb{Z}^d} \mathbb{T}^d imes R_{\Lambda}.$$

In dimension d = 2,  $\Lambda = 0$  corresponds to irrational slopes, and the other  $\Lambda$ s correspond to closed geodesics. Thus, if  $\mu$  is a positive

Radon measure on  $\mathbb{T}^d imes (\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\})$ , we can write

$$\mu = \sum_{\Lambda \neq \mathbb{Z}^d} \mu \big]_{\mathbb{T}^d \times R_\Lambda}.$$

Starting again from a normalized sequence  $(u_n^0)$  in  $L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)$ , denote by  $u_n(x, t)$  the corresponding solution of (S), and

$$\mu(dx, d\xi, dt, dH) = \mu_t(dx, d\xi) dt \delta_{H = \|\xi\|^2/2}$$

a limit point of the sequence of Wigner transforms  $W_{u_n}$ . Assume first that  $(u_n^0)$  converges weakly to 0 in  $L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)$ . We apply the previous remark to each  $\mu_t$ ,

$$\mu_t = \sum_{\Lambda \neq \mathbb{Z}^d} \mu_t \rceil_{\mathbb{T}^d \times R_\Lambda}$$

Introduction.

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)

## Study of $\mu_t \rceil_{\mathbb{T}^d \times R_{\Lambda}}$ .

#### If $\Lambda=0,$ then invariance under there geodesic flow implies that

$$d\mu_t$$
 $]_{\mathbb{T}^d \times R_0} = dx \otimes d\nu_0(\xi).$ 

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

If  $Rk \Lambda = 1$ , then invariance under there geodesic flow implies that  $\mu_t \rceil_{\mathbb{T}^d \times R_\Lambda}$  is the Lebesgue measure in the direction of the hyperplane  $x \in \Lambda^\perp$ . There is only 1 non trivial direction to understand.

If  $Rk \Lambda = 1$ , we show the following : for all  $a \in S^0$ ,

$$\int a d\mu_t ]_{\mathbb{T}^d \times R_{\Lambda}} = \int a_{hom} dx \otimes d\nu_{\Lambda}(\xi)$$

$$+ \int_{\xi \in R_{\Lambda}} \operatorname{Tr}_{L^2(\mathbb{T}_{\Lambda})} \left( M_{\langle a_{hom} \rangle_{\Lambda^{\perp}}}(t,\xi) \rho_{\Lambda}(t,\xi) \right) d\ell_{\Lambda}(\xi)$$

If  $Rk \Lambda = 1$ , we show the following : for all  $a \in \mathcal{S}^0$ ,

$$\int a d\mu_t ]_{\mathbb{T}^d \times R_{\Lambda}} = \int a_{hom} dx \otimes d\nu_{\Lambda}(\xi)$$

$$+ \int_{\xi \in R_{\Lambda}} \operatorname{Tr}_{L^2(\mathbb{T}_{\Lambda})} \left( M_{\langle a_{hom} \rangle_{\Lambda^{\perp}}}(t,\xi) \rho_{\Lambda}(t,\xi) \right) d\ell_{\Lambda}(\xi)$$

In addition (if V is C<sup>0</sup>), the operator  $\rho_{\Lambda}(t,\xi) \in \mathcal{L}^{1}_{+}(L^{2}(\mathbb{T}_{\Lambda}))$  satisfies the Heisenberg propagation law

 $\rho(t) = U^*_{\Lambda}(t)\rho(0)U_{\Lambda}(t)$ 

where  $U_{\Lambda}(t)$  is the unitary propagator for the S-equation on  $L^{2}(\mathbb{T}_{\Lambda})$ ,

$$(\mathrm{S}_{\mathsf{\Lambda}}) \qquad i rac{\partial v}{\partial t} = \left( rac{\Delta_{\mathsf{\Lambda}}}{2} + \langle V 
angle_{\mathsf{\Lambda}^{\perp}} 
ight).$$

## Second microlocalisation (2-microlocal defect measures)

The decomposition

$$\int a d\mu_t ]_{\mathbb{T}^d \times R_{\Lambda}} = \int a_{hom} dx \otimes d\nu_{\Lambda}(\xi)$$

$$+ \int_{\xi \in R_{\Lambda}} \operatorname{Tr}_{L^2(\mathbb{T}_{\Lambda})} \left( M_{\langle a_{hom} \rangle_{\Lambda^{\perp}}}(t,\xi) \rho_{\Lambda}(t,\xi) \right) d\ell_{\Lambda}(\xi)$$

comes from a second microlocalisation :

$$W_{u_n}(a) = \langle u_n, a(x, D_x, t, D_t) u_n \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R})}$$
  
=  $\left\langle u_n, a(x, D_x, t, D_t)(1 - \chi) \left( \frac{D_x^{\Lambda}}{R} \right) u_n \right\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R})}$   
+  $\left\langle u_n, a(x, D_x, t, D_t) \chi \left( \frac{D_x^{\Lambda}}{R} \right) u_n \right\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R})}$ 

and by taking the limits  $n \longrightarrow \infty$  followed by  $\underset{n \longrightarrow n}{R} \xrightarrow{} \infty$ .

More generally, we show that  $\mu_t$  has the following structure : for all  $a \in S^0$ ,

$$\int ad\mu_t = \int a_{hom} dx \otimes d\nu(\xi)$$

$$+ \sum_{\Lambda \neq \mathbb{Z}^d} \int_{\xi \in \Lambda^{\perp}} \operatorname{Tr}_{L^2(\mathbb{T}_{\Lambda})} \left( M_{\langle a_{hom} \rangle_{\Lambda^{\perp}}}(t,\xi) \rho_{\Lambda}(t,\xi) \right) d\ell_{\Lambda}(\xi).$$

More generally, we show that  $\mu_t$  has the following structure : for all  $a \in S^0$ ,

$$\int a d\mu_t = \int a_{hom} dx \otimes d\nu(\xi)$$

$$+ \sum_{\Lambda \neq \mathbb{Z}^d} \int_{\xi \in \Lambda^{\perp}} \operatorname{Tr}_{L^2(\mathbb{T}_{\Lambda})} \left( M_{\langle a_{hom} \rangle_{\Lambda^{\perp}}}(t,\xi) \rho_{\Lambda}(t,\xi) \right) d\ell_{\Lambda}(\xi).$$

If V is continuous, each  $\rho_{\Lambda}(t,\xi)$  satisfies the Heisenberg propagation law, for the Schrödinger equation  $(S_{\Lambda})$  on  $L^{2}(\mathbb{T}_{\Lambda})$ .

More generally, we show that  $\mu_t$  has the following structure : for all  $a \in S^0$ ,

$$\int a d\mu_t = \int a_{hom} dx \otimes d\nu(\xi)$$

$$+ \sum_{\Lambda \neq \mathbb{Z}^d} \int_{\xi \in \Lambda^{\perp}} \operatorname{Tr}_{L^2(\mathbb{T}_{\Lambda})} \left( M_{\langle a_{hom} \rangle_{\Lambda^{\perp}}}(t,\xi) \rho_{\Lambda}(t,\xi) \right) d\ell_{\Lambda}(\xi).$$

If V is continuous, each  $\rho_{\Lambda}(t,\xi)$  satisfies the Heisenberg propagation law, for the Schrödinger equation  $(S_{\Lambda})$  on  $L^{2}(\mathbb{T}_{\Lambda})$ . If  $(u_{n}^{0})$  does not converge weakly to 0, there is an additional term

$$\operatorname{Tr}_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)}(a(x, D_x, t)\rho(t))$$

where  $\rho(t)$  is the limit, for the weak-\* topology of  $\mathcal{L}^1_+(L^2(\mathbb{T}^d))$ , of the rank-one projectors  $|u_n(t)\rangle\langle u_n(t)|$ .

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

#### Corollary

(absolute continuity)  $V \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R})$ 

Let  $\pi : \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \longrightarrow \mathbb{T}^d$ . Then  $\pi_* \mu_t$  is absolutely continuous.

#### Corollary

(absolute continuity)  $V \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R})$ 

Let  $\pi : \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \longrightarrow \mathbb{T}^d$ . Then  $\pi_* \mu_t$  is absolutely continuous.

Comes from the fact that measures of the form

 $a \mapsto \operatorname{Tr}(a(x)\widetilde{\rho})$ 

for some  $\widetilde{\rho} \in \mathcal{L}^1_+(L^2(\mathbb{T}_\Lambda))$  are absolutely continuous.

#### Corollary

(observability)  $V \in C^0(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R})$ Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{T}^d$  and, for any  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$ , let  $\Omega_\Lambda$  be its orthogonal projection on  $\mathbb{T}_\Lambda$ .

Assume one the the following statements holds :

- Unique continuation for all the equations (S<sub>A</sub>) on  $\Omega_A \times (0, T)$
- OR : the potential  $V \in C^0(\overline{\mathbb{D}}; \mathbb{R})$  does not depend on t.

Then the observability inequality holds :

$$(\mathrm{Obs}(\Omega, T)) \quad \|u^0\|_{L^2(M)}^2 \le C(T, \Omega) \int_0^T \|u(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 dt$$

for all  $u^0$ .

#### Corollary

(observability)  $V \in C^0(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R})$ Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{T}^d$  and, for any  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$ , let  $\Omega_\Lambda$  be its orthogonal projection on  $\mathbb{T}_\Lambda$ .

Assume one the the following statements holds :

- Unique continuation for all the equations (S\_{\Lambda}) on  $\Omega_{\Lambda} \times (0, T)$
- OR : the potential  $V \in C^0(\overline{\mathbb{D}}; \mathbb{R})$  does not depend on t.

Then the observability inequality holds :

$$(\mathrm{Obs}(\Omega, T)) \quad \|u^0\|_{L^2(M)}^2 \le C(T, \Omega) \int_0^T \|u(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 dt$$

for all  $u^0$ .

Unique continuation is known to hold for any open set if V(x, t) is analytic (Holmgren). If  $V \in C^0$  does not depend on t, we can use an argument of Lebeau relying on unique continuation of eigenfunctions to show  $(Obs(\Omega, T))$  directly.

## Reference to other work :

Absolute continuity of  $\mu$ : for V = 0 and  $(u_n^0)$  eigenfunctions of  $\Delta$  (stationary solutions), due to Bourgain-Jakobson 1997 using Fourier series expansion of solutions.

For V = 0, Macià had given a microlocal proof in dimension d = 2.

Burg (2013) shows that the absolute continuity result for V = 0 implies the result for  $V \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}_t, \mathcal{L}(L^2(\mathbb{T}^d))).$ 

Reference to other work :

The observability result was proven by Jaffard and Haraux (90), for V = 0 (using Fourier expansions).

Burq-Zworski give a microlocal proof in d = 2 (V = 0 2003,  $V \neq 0$ , continuous, time-independent, 2011).

Our observability result holds in arbitrary dimension, for V continuous, or Riemann integrable. Bourgain-Burq-Zworski (2012) manage to lower the regularity to  $V \in L^2$ , in dimension d = 2.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

## Extension

This work has been extended by A-Fermanian-Macià to more general (semiclassical) equations on  $\mathbb{T}^d$ ,

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = (H(\hbar D_x) + \hbar^2 V)u$$

where we impose the (necessary) condition  $d^2H > 0$ .

## Extension

This work has been extended by A-Fermanian-Macià to more general (semiclassical) equations on  $\mathbb{T}^d,$ 

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = (H(\hbar D_x) + \hbar^2 V)u$$

where we impose the (necessary) condition  $d^2H > 0$ .

Using locally the Arnold-Liouville theorem, this also implies results for more general, non-degenerate, completely integrable systems.

## The disc

#### The Schrödinger equation

(S) 
$$i\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \left(\frac{\Delta}{2} + V\right)u$$
  
 $u_{\mid t=0} = u^0$ 

in the disc  $\mathbb{D} = \{(x, y), x^2 + y^2 < 1\}$ , with Dirichlet boundary condition.

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) のQ()

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)

The classical dynamics is the billiard flow :

$$\phi^t:(x,\xi)\mapsto(x+t\xi,\xi)$$

as long as the trajectory stays inside the disc, and reflection on the boundary.

æ

A nice set of coordinates for the dynamics is  $\Phi: (s, \theta, E, J) \longrightarrow (x, y, \xi_x, \xi_y)$  where

$$\begin{cases} x = \frac{J}{E}\cos\theta - s\sin\theta, \\ y = \frac{J}{E}\sin\theta + s\cos\theta, \\ \xi_x = -E\sin\theta, \\ \xi_y = E\cos\theta. \end{cases}$$



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

In these coordinates, the flow  $\phi^t$  reads (away from reflections)

$$(s, \theta, E, J) \mapsto (s + tE, \theta, E, J)$$

and the rotation of angle  $\tau$  around the origin  $\mathbb{R}^{\tau}$  (generated by J) reads

$$(s, \theta, E, J) \mapsto (s, \theta + \tau, E, J)$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

The angle of the trajectory with the boundary is

$$\alpha = -\arcsin\left(\frac{J}{E}\right)$$

. The equation of the boundary is

$$s = \pm \cos \alpha = \pm \sqrt{1 - \frac{J^2}{E^2}}.$$

The reflection on the boundary reads

$$(\cos \alpha, \theta, E, J) \mapsto (-\cos \alpha, \theta + 2\alpha, E, J).$$

*E* and *J* (and  $\alpha$ ) are conserved.

## A-Macià-Léautaud 2014

Take again  $(u_n^0)$  a sequence of initial conditions, normalized in  $L^2(\mathbb{D})$ . We extend is by 0 outside the disc and call  $u_n(x, t)$  the associated solutions (with Dirichlet boundary condition) Again we consider

$$W_{u_n}(a) = \langle u_n, a(x, D_x, t, D_t) u_n \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{R})},$$

 $a \in S^0$ .

We prove a structure theorem for the limits  $\mu$  of the sequence  $W_{u_n}$ . It has the following consequences :

#### Theorem

Let  $(u_n^0)$  be a sequence in  $L^2(\mathbb{D})$ , such that  $||u_n^0||_{L^2(\mathbb{D})} = 1$  for all n. Consider the sequence of positive Radon measures  $\nu_n$  on  $\overline{\mathbb{D}} \times \mathbb{R}$ , defined by

$$\nu_n(dx, dt) = |u_n(x, t)|^2 dx dt.$$

Let  $\nu$  be any weak-\* limit of the sequence  $(\nu_n)$ : then  $\nu(dx, dt) = \nu_t(dx)dt$  where, for almost every t,  $\nu_t$  is a probability measure on  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ , and  $\nu_t]_{\mathbb{D}}$  is absolutely continuous.

## Unique continuation, observability

#### Theorem

Let  $\Omega \subset \overline{\mathbb{D}}$  be an open set such that  $\Omega \cap \partial \mathbb{D} \neq \emptyset$  and fix any

T > 0. Assume one the the following statements holds :

- the potential V ∈ C<sup>∞</sup>([0, T] × D; ℝ) and the open set Ω satisfy (UCP<sub>V,Ω</sub>),
- the potential  $V \in C^0(\overline{\mathbb{D}}; \mathbb{R})$  does not depend on t.

Then there exists  $C = C(T, \Omega) > 0$  such that :

$$\left\| u^{0} \right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{D})}^{2} \leq C \int_{0}^{T} \| u(t) \|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} dt,$$
 (4.1)

for every initial datum  $u^{0} \in L^{2}(\mathbb{D})$ .

## Unique continuation, observability

#### Theorem

Let  $\Omega\subset\overline{\mathbb{D}}$  be an open set such that  $\Omega\cap\partial\mathbb{D}
eq\emptyset$  and fix any

T > 0. Assume one the the following statements holds :

- the potential  $V \in C^{\infty}([0, T] \times \overline{\mathbb{D}}; \mathbb{R})$  and the open set  $\Omega$  satisfy  $(UCP_{V,\Omega})$ ,
- the potential  $V \in C^0(\overline{\mathbb{D}}; \mathbb{R})$  does not depend on t.

Then there exists  $C = C(T, \Omega) > 0$  such that :

$$\left\| u^{0} \right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{D})}^{2} \leq C \int_{0}^{T} \| u(t) \|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} dt,$$
 (4.1)

for every initial datum  $u^{0} \in L^{2}(\mathbb{D})$ .

$$u^0 \in L^2(\mathbb{D}), \quad u(t) \mid_{(0,T) \times \Omega} = 0 \Longrightarrow u^0 = 0.$$
 (UCP<sub>V,Ω</sub>)

## Boundary observability

#### Theorem

Let  $\Gamma$  be any nonempty subset of  $\partial \mathbb{D}$  and fix any T>0. Suppose one of the following holds :

- $V \in C^{\infty}([0, T] \times \overline{\mathbb{D}})$  and  $\Gamma$  satisfy  $(UCP_{V, \Gamma})$ ,
- $V \in C^0(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$  does not depend on t.

Then there exists  $C = C(T, \Gamma) > 0$  such that :

$$\left\|u^{0}\right\|_{H^{1}(\mathbb{D})}^{2} \leq C \int_{0}^{T} \left\|\partial_{n}u(t)\right\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma)}^{2} dt,$$

for every initial datum  $u^0 \in H^1_0(\mathbb{D})$ .

## Boundary observability

#### Theorem

Let  $\Gamma$  be any nonempty subset of  $\partial \mathbb{D}$  and fix any T>0. Suppose one of the following holds :

- $V \in C^{\infty}([0, T] \times \overline{\mathbb{D}})$  and  $\Gamma$  satisfy  $(UCP_{V, \Gamma})$ ,
- $V \in C^0(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$  does not depend on t.

Then there exists  $C = C(T, \Gamma) > 0$  such that :

$$\left\|u^{0}\right\|_{H^{1}(\mathbb{D})}^{2} \leq C \int_{0}^{T} \left\|\partial_{n}u(t)\right\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma)}^{2} dt,$$

for every initial datum  $u^0 \in H^1_0(\mathbb{D})$ .

$$u^{0} \in H^{1}_{0}(\mathbb{D}), \quad \partial_{n}u]_{(0,T)\times\Gamma} = 0 \Longrightarrow u^{0} = 0, \qquad (\mathsf{UCP}_{V,\Gamma})$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

## $(UCP_{V,\Omega})$ and $(UCP_{V,\Gamma})$ are known to hold when V is analytic in (t, x) (Holmgren).