# Quantum speed limit and displacement energy

Laurent Charles, joint work with Leonid Polterovich

June 21, 2016

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

# Outline

- 1. Quantum speed limit
- 2. Displacement energy
- 3. Relationship in the semiclassical limit

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)

Consider a finite dimensional Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ , a normalised state  $\Psi \in \mathcal{H}$  and a quantum Hamiltonian  $(\hat{H}_t) \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}([0, 1], \operatorname{Herm}(\mathcal{H}))$ . Solve Schrödinger equation

$$i\hbar\Psi_t'=\hat{H}_t\Psi_t$$

with initial condition  $\Psi_0 = \Psi$ .

Consider a finite dimensional Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ , a normalised state  $\Psi \in \mathcal{H}$  and a quantum Hamiltonian  $(\hat{H}_t) \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}([0, 1], \operatorname{Herm}(\mathcal{H}))$ . Solve Schrödinger equation

$$i\hbar\Psi_t'=\hat{H}_t\Psi_t$$

with initial condition  $\Psi_0 = \Psi$ . We say that  $(\hat{H}_t)$  *a-dislocates* the state  $\Psi$  if  $|\langle \Psi_0, \Psi_1 \rangle| \leqslant a$ .

Consider a finite dimensional Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ , a normalised state  $\Psi \in \mathcal{H}$  and a quantum Hamiltonian  $(\hat{H}_t) \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}([0, 1], \text{Herm}(\mathcal{H}))$ . Solve Schrödinger equation

$$i\hbar\Psi_t'=\hat{H}_t\Psi_t$$

with initial condition  $\Psi_0 = \Psi$ . We say that  $(\hat{H}_t)$  *a-dislocates* the state  $\Psi$  if  $|\langle \Psi_0, \Psi_1 \rangle| \leq a$ . Define the energy of  $(\hat{H}_t)$ 

$$\ell_{\mathsf{q}}(\hat{H}_t) = \int_0^1 \|\hat{H}_t\|_{\mathsf{op}} dt$$

Consider a finite dimensional Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ , a normalised state  $\Psi \in \mathcal{H}$  and a quantum Hamiltonian  $(\hat{H}_t) \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}([0, 1], \operatorname{Herm}(\mathcal{H}))$ . Solve Schrödinger equation

$$i\hbar\Psi_t'=\hat{H}_t\Psi_t$$

with initial condition  $\Psi_0 = \Psi$ . We say that  $(\hat{H}_t)$  *a-dislocates* the state  $\Psi$  if  $|\langle \Psi_0, \Psi_1 \rangle| \leq a$ . Define the energy of  $(\hat{H}_t)$ 

$$\ell_{\mathsf{q}}(\hat{H}_t) = \int_0^1 \|\hat{H}_t\|_{\mathsf{op}} dt$$

Proposition (Quantum speed limit) If  $(\hat{H}_t)$  a-dislocates  $\Psi$ , then  $\ell_q(\hat{H}) \ge \hbar \arccos(a)$ .

Consider a symplectic manifold M and a classical Hamiltonian  $(H_t) \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(M)$ . Let  $X_t$  be the corresponding vector field,  $\omega(X_t, \cdot) = dH_t$  and  $(\phi_t)$  be its flow.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Consider a symplectic manifold M and a classical Hamiltonian  $(H_t) \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(M)$ . Let  $X_t$  be the corresponding vector field,  $\omega(X_t, \cdot) = dH_t$  and  $(\phi_t)$  be its flow.

Define the energy of  $(H_t)$ 

$$\ell_{\mathsf{cl}}(H_t) = \int_0^1 \|H_t\|_\infty \ dt$$

Consider a symplectic manifold M and a classical Hamiltonian  $(H_t) \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(M)$ . Let  $X_t$  be the corresponding vector field,  $\omega(X_t, \cdot) = dH_t$  and  $(\phi_t)$  be its flow.

Define the energy of  $(H_t)$ 

$$\ell_{\mathsf{cl}}(H_t) = \int_0^1 \|H_t\|_\infty \ dt$$

We say that  $(H_t)$  displaces a subset S of M if  $\phi_1(S) \cap S = \emptyset$ .

Consider a symplectic manifold M and a classical Hamiltonian  $(H_t) \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(M)$ . Let  $X_t$  be the corresponding vector field,  $\omega(X_t, \cdot) = dH_t$  and  $(\phi_t)$  be its flow.

Define the energy of  $(H_t)$ 

$$\ell_{\mathsf{cl}}(H_t) = \int_0^1 \|H_t\|_\infty \ dt$$

We say that  $(H_t)$  displaces a subset S of M if  $\phi_1(S) \cap S = \emptyset$ .

#### Theorem

For any open set  $\Omega$  of M, there exists C > 0 such that for any classical Hamiltonian  $(H_t)$  displacing  $\Omega$ ,  $\ell_{cl}(H_t) \ge C$ .

The largest C is called the displacement energy. This is due to Hofer, Viterbo, Polterovich, McDuff-Lalonde. Dislocation  $(\hat{H}_t)$  a-dislocates the state  $\Psi$  if  $|\langle \Psi_0, \Psi_1 \rangle| \leq a$ . Proposition If  $(\hat{H}_t)$  a-dislocates  $\Psi$ , then  $\ell_q(\hat{H}) \geq \hbar \arccos(a)$ .

#### Displacement

 $(H_t)$  displaces a subset S of M if  $\phi_1(S) \cap S = \emptyset$ .

#### Theorem

For any open set  $\Omega$  of M, there exists C > 0 such that for any classical Hamiltonian  $(H_t)$  displacing  $\Omega$ ,  $\ell_{cl}(H_t) \ge C$ .

# Microsupport displacement implies dislocation

Assume that  $(\mathcal{H}_{\hbar}, \hbar \in \Lambda)$  is a quantization of M and

$$\operatorname{Op}_{\hbar} : \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(M) \to \operatorname{End} \mathcal{H}_{\hbar}, \qquad \hbar \in \Lambda$$

a convenient map quantizing observables.

# Microsupport displacement implies dislocation

Assume that  $(\mathcal{H}_{\hbar}, \hbar \in \Lambda)$  is a quantization of M and

$$\operatorname{Op}_{\hbar} : \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(M) \to \operatorname{End} \mathcal{H}_{\hbar}, \qquad \hbar \in \Lambda$$

a convenient map quantizing observables. Let  $(\Psi_{\hbar} \in \mathcal{H}_{\hbar}, \hbar \in \Lambda)$  be a normalised state. Define its

microsupport by

$$x \notin \mathsf{MS}(\Psi) \Leftrightarrow \text{there exists } f \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(M) \text{ such that}$$
  
 $f(x) \neq 0 \text{ and } \mathsf{Op}(f)\Psi = \mathcal{O}(\hbar^{\infty}).$ 

# Microsupport displacement implies dislocation

Assume that  $(\mathcal{H}_{\hbar}, \hbar \in \Lambda)$  is a quantization of M and

$$\operatorname{Op}_{\hbar} : \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(M) \to \operatorname{End} \mathcal{H}_{\hbar}, \qquad \hbar \in \Lambda$$

a convenient map quantizing observables.

Let  $(\Psi_{\hbar} \in \mathcal{H}_{\hbar}, \hbar \in \Lambda)$  be a normalised state. Define its microsupport by

$$x \notin \mathsf{MS}(\Psi) \Leftrightarrow ext{there exists } f \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(M) ext{ such that}$$
  
 $f(x) \neq 0 ext{ and } \mathsf{Op}(f)\Psi = \mathcal{O}(\hbar^{\infty}).$ 

#### Proposition

If  $(H_t)$  displaces the microsupport of  $\Psi$ , then  $\hat{H}_t = Op_{\hbar}(H_t)$  $\mathcal{O}(\hbar^{\infty})$ -dislocate  $\Psi$ .

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、(E)、(O)へ(C)

## Questions

We have seen that microsupport displacement implies dislocation.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- 1. Is the converse true ?
  - a. for "classical" quantum states, yes.
  - b. for Lagrangian states, no.

## Questions

We have seen that microsupport displacement implies dislocation.

- 1. Is the converse true ?
  - a. for "classical" quantum states, yes.
  - b. for Lagrangian states, no.
- 2. What about  $\ell_q(\hat{H}_t)$  ?
  - a. lower bound  $\sim 1$
  - b. same order as quantum speed limit

## Semi-classical setting

Let *M* be a complex compact manifold and  $L \rightarrow M$  be a positive Hermitian holomorphic line bundle.

Then  $\operatorname{curv}(L) = \frac{1}{i}\omega$  where  $\omega \in \Omega^2(M)$  is symplectic.

Define the Hilbert space

$$\mathcal{H}_{\hbar} = H^0(M, \mathcal{O}(L^k)), \quad ext{ with } \hbar = 1/k$$

and the scalar product  $\langle \Psi, \Psi' \rangle = \int_M (\Psi, \Psi') \mu$  where  $\mu$  is the Liouville measure.

## Semi-classical setting

Let *M* be a complex compact manifold and  $L \rightarrow M$  be a positive Hermitian holomorphic line bundle.

Then 
$$\operatorname{curv}(L) = \frac{1}{i}\omega$$
 where  $\omega \in \Omega^2(M)$  is symplectic.

Define the Hilbert space

$$\mathcal{H}_{\hbar} = H^0(M, \mathcal{O}(L^k)), \quad ext{ with } \hbar = 1/k$$

and the scalar product  $\langle \Psi, \Psi' \rangle = \int_{\mathcal{M}} (\Psi, \Psi') \mu$  where  $\mu$  is the Liouville measure.

For any  $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$ , define the Toeplitz operator

$$T_{\hbar}(f):\mathcal{H}_{\hbar}
ightarrow\mathcal{H}_{\hbar}, \qquad T_{\hbar}(f)\Psi=\Pi_{\hbar}(f\Psi)$$

where  $\Pi_{\hbar}$  is the orthogonal projector from  $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(M, L^k)$  onto  $\mathcal{H}_{\hbar}$ .

On the index of Toeplitz operators of several complex variables, (1978), Inventiones Mathematicae, 50.

with J. Sjöstrand, *Sur la singularité des noyaux de Bergman et Szegö*, (1975), Asterisque no 34-35.

with V. Guillemin, *The spectral theory of Toeplitz operator*, (1981), Annals of Mathematics Studies, 99.

# "Classical" quantum state

Classical state  $\tau$ : Borel probability measure of M. Quantum mixed state: positive endomorphism of  $\mathcal{H}_{\hbar}$  with trace 1.

## "Classical" quantum state

Classical state  $\tau$ : Borel probability measure of M. Quantum mixed state: positive endomorphism of  $\mathcal{H}_{\hbar}$  with trace 1.

For any classical state  $\tau$ , define the mixed state

$$Q_{\hbar}( au) = \int_{M} P_{x,\hbar} \; d au(x)$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

where  $P_{x,\hbar}$  is the projector onto  $\mathbb{C}e_{x,\hbar}$  and  $e_{x,\hbar} \in \mathcal{H}_{\hbar}$  is the coherent state at x.

## "Classical" quantum state

Classical state  $\tau$ : Borel probability measure of M. Quantum mixed state: positive endomorphism of  $\mathcal{H}_{\hbar}$  with trace 1.

For any classical state  $\tau$ , define the mixed state

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\hbar}( au) = \int_{M} \mathcal{P}_{x,\hbar} \; d au(x)$$

where  $P_{x,\hbar}$  is the projector onto  $\mathbb{C}e_{x,\hbar}$  and  $e_{x,\hbar} \in \mathcal{H}_{\hbar}$  is the coherent state at x.

We say that  $(\hat{H}_t)$  *a*-dislocates the mixed state  $\theta$  if  $F(U_t \theta U_t^*, \theta) \leq a$  where

•  $U_t =$ quantum propagator,  $i\hbar U'_t = \hat{H}_t U_t$ .

• 
$$F = \text{fidelity}, F(\theta, \sigma) = \|\theta^{1/2}\sigma^{1/2}\|_{\mathsf{tr}}$$

Another interesting fidelity is  $F'(\theta, \sigma) = \left(\frac{\langle \theta, \sigma \rangle_{HS}}{\|\theta\|_{HS} \|\sigma\|_{HS}}\right)^{1/2}$ .

Displacement vs dislocation for "classical" quantum state

#### Theorem (C-Polterovich)

Let  $\tau$  be a classical state,  $(H_t)$  a classical Hamiltonian and  $\hat{H}_t = T_{\hbar}(H_t)$ .

1. if  $(H_t)$  displaces the support of  $\tau$ , then  $(\hat{H}_t) \mathcal{O}(\hbar^{\infty})$ -dislocates  $Q_{\hbar}(\tau)$ .

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Displacement vs dislocation for "classical" quantum state

#### Theorem (C-Polterovich)

Let  $\tau$  be a classical state,  $(H_t)$  a classical Hamiltonian and  $\hat{H}_t = T_{\hbar}(H_t)$ .

- 1. if  $(H_t)$  displaces the support of  $\tau$ , then  $(\hat{H}_t) \mathcal{O}(\hbar^{\infty})$ -dislocates  $Q_{\hbar}(\tau)$ .
- 2. If  $\tau = f \mu$  with f of class  $C^3$  and  $(\hat{H}_t) o(\hbar^n)$ -dislocate  $Q_{\hbar}(\tau)$ , then for any  $\lambda > 0$ ,
  - ( $H_t$ ) displaces { $f > \lambda$ } when  $\hbar$  is sufficiently small
  - $\ell_q(\hat{H}) \ge C + \mathcal{O}(\hbar)$  with C the displacement energy of  $\{f > \lambda\}$ .

Flexibility in displacement/dislocation

#### Theorem (C-Polterovich)

Let  $\tau$  be a classical state of class  $C^3$  such that

 $\mu(\operatorname{Supp} \tau) < \mu(M)/2.$ 

Then for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a classical Hamiltonian  $(H_t)$  such that

- $\ell_{\mathsf{cl}}(H_t) \leqslant \epsilon$ ,
- μ(φ<sub>1</sub>(Supp τ) ∩ Supp τ) ≤ ε where φ<sub>1</sub> is the time-one-map of the Hamiltonian flow of (H<sub>t</sub>),

Flexibility in displacement/dislocation

#### Theorem (C-Polterovich)

Let  $\tau$  be a classical state of class  $C^3$  such that

 $\mu(\operatorname{Supp} \tau) < \mu(M)/2.$ 

Then for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a classical Hamiltonian  $(H_t)$  such that

- $\ell_{\mathsf{cl}}(H_t) \leqslant \epsilon$ ,
- μ(φ<sub>1</sub>(Supp τ) ∩ Supp τ) ≤ ε where φ<sub>1</sub> is the time-one-map of the Hamiltonian flow of (H<sub>t</sub>),

the corresponding quantum Hamiltonian (Ĥ<sub>t</sub>) ε-dislocates Q<sub>ħ</sub>(τ) when ħ is sufficiently small and ℓ<sub>q</sub>(Ĥ) ≤ ε.

# Dislocation of Lagrangian state

Let  $\Gamma \subset M$  be a Lagrangian submanifold.

A Lagrangian state  $(\Psi_{\hbar})$  supported by  $\Gamma$  satisfies

1. 
$$\Psi_{\hbar}(x) = \mathcal{O}(\hbar^{\infty})$$
 if  $x \notin \Gamma$ .

2.  $\Psi_{\hbar}(x) = \hbar^{-n/2} u^k(x) (a_0(x) + \hbar a_1(x) + ...)$  where  $u(x) \in L_x$  has norm 1 and the  $a_\ell(x)$ 's are complex numbers.

## Dislocation of Lagrangian state

Let  $\Gamma \subset M$  be a Lagrangian submanifold.

A Lagrangian state ( $\Psi_{\hbar}$ ) supported by  $\Gamma$  satisfies

1. 
$$\Psi_{\hbar}(x) = \mathcal{O}(\hbar^{\infty})$$
 if  $x \notin \Gamma$ .

2.  $\Psi_{\hbar}(x) = \hbar^{-n/2} u^k(x) (a_0(x) + \hbar a_1(x) + ...)$  where  $u(x) \in L_x$  has norm 1 and the  $a_\ell(x)$ 's are complex numbers.

Let 
$$\hat{H}_{\hbar} = \hbar T_{\hbar}(f(\cdot, \hbar))$$
 with  $f(\cdot, \hbar) = f_0 + \hbar f_1 + \dots$  Then  
 $\ell_q(\hat{H}_{\hbar}) = \|\hat{H}_{\hbar}\|_{op} = \mathcal{O}(\hbar)$  and  $\exp(i\hbar^{-1}\hat{H}_{\hbar}) = T_{\hbar}(e^{if_0}) + \mathcal{O}(\hbar)$ .  
 $\epsilon \exp(i\hbar^{-1}\hat{H}_{\hbar})\Psi_{\hbar}$  is still a Lagrangian state supported by  $\Gamma$ .

# Dislocation of Lagrangian state

Let  $\Gamma \subset M$  be a Lagrangian submanifold.

A Lagrangian state ( $\Psi_{\hbar}$ ) supported by  $\Gamma$  satisfies

1. 
$$\Psi_{\hbar}(x) = \mathcal{O}(\hbar^{\infty})$$
 if  $x \notin \Gamma$ .

2.  $\Psi_{\hbar}(x) = \hbar^{-n/2} u^k(x) (a_0(x) + \hbar a_1(x) + ...)$  where  $u(x) \in L_x$  has norm 1 and the  $a_\ell(x)$ 's are complex numbers.

Let 
$$\hat{H}_{\hbar} = \hbar T_{\hbar}(f(\cdot, \hbar))$$
 with  $f(\cdot, \hbar) = f_0 + \hbar f_1 + \dots$  Then  
 $\ell_q(\hat{H}_{\hbar}) = \|\hat{H}_{\hbar}\|_{op} = \mathcal{O}(\hbar)$  and  $\exp(i\hbar^{-1}\hat{H}_{\hbar}) = T_{\hbar}(e^{if_0}) + \mathcal{O}(\hbar)$ .  
 $\epsilon \exp(i\hbar^{-1}\hat{H}_{\hbar})\Psi_{\hbar}$  is still a Lagrangian state supported by  $\Gamma$ .

#### Theorem (C-Polterovich)

Assume that  $\Gamma = S^1 \times N$  and for any  $x \in \Gamma$ ,  $a_0(x) \neq 0$ . Then we can choose the coefficients  $f_{\ell}$ 's so that  $\hat{H}_{\hbar} \mathcal{O}(\hbar^{\infty})$ -dislocates  $\Psi_{\hbar}$ .