

Almost global solutions for the periodic gravity-capillarity equation

Jean-Marc Delort

Université Paris-Nord

(joint work with Massimiliano Berti)

1. The gravity-capillarity wave equations

Air



Water

$$\Delta\Phi = 0$$

$$-1 < y < \eta(t, x)$$

$$\text{bottom } \partial_n\Phi = 0$$

For an incompressible and irrotational fluid, one may write the velocity as $v = \nabla\Phi$ with $\Delta\Phi = 0$, and express the equation from $\psi = \Phi|_{y=\eta(t,x)}$ and $\eta(t, x)$.

1. The gravity-capillarity wave equations

Air



Water

$$\Delta\Phi = 0$$

$$-1 < y < \eta(t, x)$$

$$\text{bottom } \partial_n \Phi = 0$$

For an incompressible and irrotational fluid, one may write the velocity as $v = \nabla\Phi$ with $\Delta\Phi = 0$, and express the equation from $\psi = \Phi|_{y=\eta(t,x)}$ and $\eta(t, x)$.

Craig-Sulem-Zakharov formulation of the gravity-capillarity wave equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \eta &= G(\eta)\psi \\ \text{(CGWE)} \quad \partial_t \psi &= -g\eta - \frac{1}{2}(\partial_x \psi)^2 + \frac{[G(\eta)\psi + \partial_x \psi \partial_x \eta]^2}{2(1 + (\partial_x \eta)^2)} + \kappa H(\eta) \end{aligned}$$

where $G(\eta)\psi$ is the Dirichlet-Neumann operator defined by

$$G(\eta)\psi = (\partial_y \Phi - \partial_x \eta \partial_x \Phi)|_{y=\eta(t,x)} \quad \text{where } H(\eta) = \partial_x \left[\frac{\eta'}{\sqrt{1+\eta'^2}} \right],$$

with $\eta' = \partial_x \eta$, where $g > 0, \kappa > 0$, and $x \in \mathbb{T}^1$.

Craig-Sulem-Zakharov formulation of the gravity-capillarity wave equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \eta &= G(\eta)\psi \\ \text{(CGWE)} \quad \partial_t \psi &= -g\eta - \frac{1}{2}(\partial_x \psi)^2 + \frac{[G(\eta)\psi + \partial_x \psi \partial_x \eta]^2}{2(1 + (\partial_x \eta)^2)} + \kappa H(\eta) \end{aligned}$$

where $G(\eta)\psi$ is the Dirichlet-Neumann operator defined by

$$G(\eta)\psi = (\partial_y \Phi - \partial_x \eta \partial_x \Phi)|_{y=\eta(t,x)} \quad \text{where } H(\eta) = \partial_x \left[\frac{\eta'}{\sqrt{1+\eta'^2}} \right],$$

with $\eta' = \partial_x \eta$, where $g > 0, \kappa > 0$, and $x \in \mathbb{T}^1$.

Craig-Sulem-Zakharov formulation of the gravity-capillarity wave equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \eta &= G(\eta)\psi \\ \text{(CGWE)} \quad \partial_t \psi &= -g\eta - \frac{1}{2}(\partial_x \psi)^2 + \frac{[G(\eta)\psi + \partial_x \psi \partial_x \eta]^2}{2(1 + (\partial_x \eta)^2)} + \kappa H(\eta) \end{aligned}$$

where $G(\eta)\psi$ is the Dirichlet-Neumann operator defined by

$$G(\eta)\psi = (\partial_y \Phi - \partial_x \eta \partial_x \Phi)|_{y=\eta(t,x)} \quad \text{where } H(\eta) = \partial_x \left[\frac{\eta'}{\sqrt{1+\eta'^2}} \right],$$

with $\eta' = \partial_x \eta$, where $g > 0, \kappa > 0$, and $x \in \mathbb{T}^1$.

Known results:

- Local existence: Nalimov, Yoshihara, S. Wu, Lannes, Coutand-Shkroller, Beyer and Gunther, Ming-Zhang, Alazard-Burq-Zuily, Ambrose, Ambrose-Masmoudi, Schweizer.
- Long time existence with small decaying data:
Case $\kappa = 0$: Sijue Wu, Germain-Masmoudi-Shatah, Ionescu-Pusateri, Alazard-D., Ifrim-Tataru, Wang .
Case $\kappa > 0$: Deng-Ionescu-Pausader-Pusateri, Germain-Masmoudi-Shatah, Ionescu-Pusateri.
- Long time existence for non localized data: Existence of solutions with data of size ϵ over an interval of time of length ϵ^{-2} .
Ifrim-Tataru ($g = 0$ or $\kappa = 0$, periodic data).

Known results:

- Local existence: Nalimov, Yoshihara, S. Wu, Lannes, Coutand-Shkroller, Beyer and Gunther, Ming-Zhang, Alazard-Burq-Zuily, Ambrose, Ambrose-Masmoudi, Schweizer.
- Long time existence with small decaying data:
Case $\kappa = 0$: Sijue Wu, Germain-Masmoudi-Shatah, Ionescu-Pusateri, Alazard-D., Ifrim-Tataru, Wang .
Case $\kappa > 0$: Deng-Ionescu-Pausader-Pusateri, Germain-Masmoudi-Shatah, Ionescu-Pusateri.
- Long time existence for non localized data: Existence of solutions with data of size ϵ over an interval of time of length ϵ^{-2} .
Ifrim-Tataru ($g = 0$ or $\kappa = 0$, periodic data).

Known results:

- Local existence: Nalimov, Yoshihara, S. Wu, Lannes, Coutand-Shkroller, Beyer and Gunther, Ming-Zhang, Alazard-Burq-Zuily, Ambrose, Ambrose-Masmoudi, Schweizer.
- Long time existence with small decaying data:
Case $\kappa = 0$: Sijue Wu, Germain-Masmoudi-Shatah, Ionescu-Pusateri, Alazard-D., Ifrim-Tataru, Wang .
Case $\kappa > 0$: Deng-Ionescu-Pausader-Pusateri, Germain-Masmoudi-Shatah, Ionescu-Pusateri.
- Long time existence for non localized data: Existence of solutions with data of size ϵ over an interval of time of length ϵ^{-2} .
Ifrim-Tataru ($g = 0$ or $\kappa = 0$, periodic data).

Question: Can we do better?

Definition: Let $S = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$. One says that a solution $\begin{bmatrix} \eta \\ \psi \end{bmatrix}$ of (CGWE) is *reversible* if and only for any t $\begin{bmatrix} \eta(-t) \\ \psi(-t) \end{bmatrix} = S \begin{bmatrix} \eta(t) \\ \psi(t) \end{bmatrix}$.

Note that this implies that $\psi(0) = 0$.

Conversely, $\psi(0) = 0$ implies that the solution is reversible, since if we write the equation $\begin{bmatrix} \dot{\eta} \\ \dot{\psi} \end{bmatrix} = F \begin{bmatrix} \eta \\ \psi \end{bmatrix}$, one has

$$SF \begin{bmatrix} \eta \\ \psi \end{bmatrix} = -F \left(S \begin{bmatrix} \eta \\ \psi \end{bmatrix} \right).$$

Notation: • $\eta \in H_{0,\text{ev}}^{s+\frac{1}{4}}(\mathbb{T}^1)$ Sobolev space of even functions with zero mean.

• $\psi \in \dot{H}_{\text{ev}}^{s-\frac{1}{4}}(\mathbb{T}^1)$ Sobolev space of even functions modulo constants.

Theorem

There is a zero measure subset \mathcal{N} of $]0, +\infty[^2$, and for any (g, κ) in $]0, +\infty[^2 - \mathcal{N}$, for any N in \mathbb{N} , there is $s_0 > 0$ and for any $s > s_0$, there are $c > 0, \epsilon_0 > 0$ such that, for any $\epsilon < \epsilon_0$, any

$\eta_0 \in H_{0,\text{ev}}^{s+\frac{1}{4}}(\mathbb{T}^1)$, with norm smaller than ϵ , (CGWE) has a unique solution $(\eta, \psi) \in C^0(] - T_\epsilon, T_\epsilon[, H_{0,\text{ev}}^{s+\frac{1}{4}}(\mathbb{T}^1) \times \dot{H}_{\text{ev}}^{s-\frac{1}{4}}(\mathbb{T}^1))$ with $T_\epsilon \geq c\epsilon^{-N}$, and Cauchy data $(\eta, \psi)|_{t=0} = (\eta_0, 0)$.

Reference: There exist special global solutions: time periodic (Alazard-Baldi) or quasi-periodic (Berti-Montalto) solutions.

Notation: • $\eta \in H_{0,\text{ev}}^{s+\frac{1}{4}}(\mathbb{T}^1)$ Sobolev space of even functions with zero mean.

• $\psi \in \dot{H}_{\text{ev}}^{s-\frac{1}{4}}(\mathbb{T}^1)$ Sobolev space of even functions modulo constants.

Theorem

There is a zero measure subset \mathcal{N} of $]0, +\infty[^2$, and for any (g, κ) in $]0, +\infty[^2 - \mathcal{N}$, for any N in \mathbb{N} , there is $s_0 > 0$ and for any $s > s_0$, there are $c > 0, \epsilon_0 > 0$ such that, for any $\epsilon < \epsilon_0$, any

$\eta_0 \in H_{0,\text{ev}}^{s+\frac{1}{4}}(\mathbb{T}^1)$, with norm smaller than ϵ , (CGWE) has a unique solution $(\eta, \psi) \in C^0(] - T_\epsilon, T_\epsilon[, H_{0,\text{ev}}^{s+\frac{1}{4}}(\mathbb{T}^1) \times \dot{H}_{\text{ev}}^{s-\frac{1}{4}}(\mathbb{T}^1))$ with $T_\epsilon \geq c\epsilon^{-N}$, and Cauchy data $(\eta, \psi)|_{t=0} = (\eta_0, 0)$.

Reference: There exist special global solutions: time periodic (Alazard-Baldi) or quasi-periodic (Berti-Montalto) solutions.

Notation: • $\eta \in H_{0,\text{ev}}^{s+\frac{1}{4}}(\mathbb{T}^1)$ Sobolev space of even functions with zero mean.

• $\psi \in \dot{H}_{\text{ev}}^{s-\frac{1}{4}}(\mathbb{T}^1)$ Sobolev space of even functions modulo constants.

Theorem

There is a zero measure subset \mathcal{N} of $]0, +\infty[^2$, and for any (g, κ) in $]0, +\infty[^2 - \mathcal{N}$, for any N in \mathbb{N} , there is $s_0 > 0$ and for any $s > s_0$, there are $c > 0, \epsilon_0 > 0$ such that, for any $\epsilon < \epsilon_0$, any

$\eta_0 \in H_{0,\text{ev}}^{s+\frac{1}{4}}(\mathbb{T}^1)$, with norm smaller than ϵ , (CGWE) has a unique solution $(\eta, \psi) \in C^0(] - T_\epsilon, T_\epsilon[, H_{0,\text{ev}}^{s+\frac{1}{4}}(\mathbb{T}^1) \times \dot{H}_{\text{ev}}^{s-\frac{1}{4}}(\mathbb{T}^1))$ with $T_\epsilon \geq c\epsilon^{-N}$, and Cauchy data $(\eta, \psi)|_{t=0} = (\eta_0, 0)$.

Reference: There exist special global solutions: time periodic (Alazard-Baldi) or quasi-periodic (Berti-Montalto) solutions.

2. Principle of proof on a model

Let $m \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$ and $\Lambda_m = \sqrt{-\Delta + m^2}$ acting on $L^2(\mathbb{T}^1)$. Let u be a solution to

$$(D_t - \Lambda_m)u = P(u, \bar{u})$$

$$u|_{t=0} = \epsilon u_0, \quad u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{T}^1, \mathbb{C}) \quad s \gg 1$$

where P is a polynomial homogeneous of degree p . The Sobolev energy inequality is

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|u(t, \cdot)\|_{H^s}^2 = \frac{d}{dt} \langle \Lambda_m^s u, \Lambda_m^s u \rangle_{L^2} = 2\operatorname{Re} i \langle \Lambda_m^s P(u, \bar{u}), \Lambda_m^s u \rangle$$

whence

$$\|u(t, \cdot)\|_{H^s} \leq \underbrace{\|u(0, \cdot)\|_{H^s}}_{\sim \epsilon} + C \int_0^t \|u(\tau, \cdot)\|_{H^s}^p d\tau.$$

One gets an a priori bound on an interval of length at least $c\epsilon^{-p+1}$, which implies existence up to such a time. One can get a better result by a normal forms method.

Look for some $Q(u, \bar{u})$ homogeneous of degree p such that

$$(D_t - \Lambda_m)[u + Q(u, \bar{u})] = (\text{terms of order } q > p) \\ + (\text{terms of order } p \text{ that do not contribute to the energy}).$$

Then as $\|Q(u, \bar{u})\|_{H^s} = O(\|u\|_{H^s}^2)$, one gets a time of existence in $c\epsilon^{-q+1}$.

Take $P(u, \bar{u}) = u^\ell \bar{u}^{p-\ell}$ and look for $Q = M(\underbrace{u, \dots, u}_\ell, \underbrace{\bar{u}, \dots, \bar{u}}_{p-\ell})$

such that $(D_t - \Lambda_m)Q = -u^\ell \bar{u}^{p-\ell} + \text{h. o. terms}$. Then

$$(D_t - \Lambda_m)M(u, \dots, u, \bar{u}, \dots, \bar{u}) = \sum_1^\ell M(u, \dots, \Lambda_m u, \dots, u, \bar{u}, \dots, \bar{u}) \\ - \sum_{\ell+1}^p M(u, \dots, u, \bar{u}, \dots, \Lambda_m \bar{u}, \dots, \bar{u}) - \Lambda_m M(u, \dots, \bar{u}) + \text{h. o. terms}.$$

Denote by Π_n the spectral projector associated to the n -th mode of $-\Delta$ on \mathbb{T}^1 . Replace the j -th argument u by $\Pi_{n_j} u_j$ and $\Lambda_m \Pi_{n_j} u_j$ by $\sqrt{m^2 + n_j^2} \Pi_{n_j} u_j$, and make act on the equation $\Pi_{n_{p+1}}$.

Look for some $Q(u, \bar{u})$ homogeneous of degree p such that

$$(D_t - \Lambda_m)[u + Q(u, \bar{u})] = (\text{terms of order } q > p) \\ + (\text{terms of order } p \text{ that do not contribute to the energy}).$$

Then as $\|Q(u, \bar{u})\|_{H^s} = O(\|u\|_{H^s}^2)$, one gets a time of existence in $c\epsilon^{-q+1}$.

Take $P(u, \bar{u}) = u^\ell \bar{u}^{p-\ell}$ and look for $Q = M(\underbrace{u, \dots, u}_\ell, \underbrace{\bar{u}, \dots, \bar{u}}_{p-\ell})$

such that $(D_t - \Lambda_m)Q = -u^\ell \bar{u}^{p-\ell} + \text{h. o. terms}$. Then

$$(D_t - \Lambda_m)M(u, \dots, u, \bar{u}, \dots, \bar{u}) = \sum_1^\ell M(u, \dots, \Lambda_m u, \dots, u, \bar{u}, \dots, \bar{u}) \\ - \sum_{\ell+1}^p M(u, \dots, u, \bar{u}, \dots, \Lambda_m \bar{u}, \dots, \bar{u}) - \Lambda_m M(u, \dots, \bar{u}) + \text{h. o. terms}.$$

Denote by Π_n the spectral projector associated to the n -th mode of $-\Delta$ on \mathbb{T}^1 . Replace the j -th argument u by $\Pi_{n_j} u_j$ and $\Lambda_m \Pi_{n_j} u_j$ by $\sqrt{m^2 + n_j^2} \Pi_{n_j} u_j$, and make act on the equation $\Pi_{n_{p+1}}$.

Look for some $Q(u, \bar{u})$ homogeneous of degree p such that

$$(D_t - \Lambda_m)[u + Q(u, \bar{u})] = (\text{terms of order } q > p) \\ + (\text{terms of order } p \text{ that do not contribute to the energy}).$$

Then as $\|Q(u, \bar{u})\|_{H^s} = O(\|u\|_{H^s}^2)$, one gets a time of existence in $c\epsilon^{-q+1}$.

Take $P(u, \bar{u}) = u^\ell \bar{u}^{p-\ell}$ and look for $Q = M(\underbrace{u, \dots, u}_\ell, \underbrace{\bar{u}, \dots, \bar{u}}_{p-\ell})$

such that $(D_t - \Lambda_m)Q = -u^\ell \bar{u}^{p-\ell} + \text{h. o. terms}$. Then

$$(D_t - \Lambda_m)M(u, \dots, u, \bar{u}, \dots, \bar{u}) = \sum_1^\ell M(u, \dots, \Lambda_m u, \dots, u, \bar{u}, \dots, \bar{u}) \\ - \sum_{\ell+1}^p M(u, \dots, u, \bar{u}, \dots, \Lambda_m \bar{u}, \dots, \bar{u}) - \Lambda_m M(u, \dots, \bar{u}) + \text{h. o. terms}.$$

Denote by Π_n the spectral projector associated to the n -th mode of $-\Delta$ on \mathbb{T}^1 . Replace the j -th argument u by $\Pi_{n_j} u_j$ and $\Lambda_m \Pi_{n_j} u_j$ by $\sqrt{m^2 + n_j^2} \Pi_{n_j} u_j$, and make act on the equation $\Pi_{n_{p+1}}$.

One gets to solve

$$\mathcal{D}_\ell(n_1, \dots, n_{p+1}) \prod_{n_{p+1}} M(\prod_{n_1} u_1, \dots, \prod_{n_p} u_p) \\ = -\prod_{n_{p+1}} \left(\prod_1^p \prod_{n_j} u_j \right)$$

where

$$\mathcal{D}_\ell(n_1, \dots, n_{p+1}) = \sum_1^\ell \sqrt{m^2 + n_j^2} - \sum_{\ell+1}^{p+1} \sqrt{m^2 + n_j^2}.$$

One proves that if m is outside a subset of zero measure and $\sum_1^{p+1} \pm n_j = 0$, then

$$|\mathcal{D}_\ell(n_1, \dots, n_{p+1})| \geq c(\text{third largest among } n_1, \dots, n_{p+1})^{-N_0}$$

except in the trivial case

$$p \text{ odd}, \ell = \frac{p+1}{2}, \{n_1, \dots, n_\ell\} = \{n_{\ell+1}, \dots, n_{p+1}\}.$$

Using the *structure* of the equation, one may check that the corresponding terms do not contribute to the energy.

One gets to solve

$$\mathcal{D}_\ell(n_1, \dots, n_{p+1}) \prod_{n_{p+1}} M(\prod_{n_1} u_1, \dots, \prod_{n_p} u_p) \\ = -\prod_{n_{p+1}} \left(\prod_1^p \prod_{n_j} u_j \right)$$

where

$$\mathcal{D}_\ell(n_1, \dots, n_{p+1}) = \sum_1^\ell \sqrt{m^2 + n_j^2} - \sum_{\ell+1}^{p+1} \sqrt{m^2 + n_j^2}.$$

One proves that if m is outside a subset of zero measure and $\sum_1^{p+1} \pm n_j = 0$, then

$$|\mathcal{D}_\ell(n_1, \dots, n_{p+1})| \geq c(\text{third largest among } n_1, \dots, n_{p+1})^{-N_0}$$

except in the trivial case

$$p \text{ odd}, \ell = \frac{p+1}{2}, \{n_1, \dots, n_\ell\} = \{n_{\ell+1}, \dots, n_{p+1}\}.$$

Using the *structure* of the equation, one may check that the corresponding terms do not contribute to the energy.

One gets to solve

$$\mathcal{D}_\ell(n_1, \dots, n_{p+1}) \prod_{n_{p+1}} M(\prod_{n_1} u_1, \dots, \prod_{n_p} u_p) \\ = -\prod_{n_{p+1}} \left(\prod_1^p \prod_{n_j} u_j \right)$$

where

$$\mathcal{D}_\ell(n_1, \dots, n_{p+1}) = \sum_1^\ell \sqrt{m^2 + n_j^2} - \sum_{\ell+1}^{p+1} \sqrt{m^2 + n_j^2}.$$

One proves that if m is outside a subset of zero measure and $\sum_1^{p+1} \pm n_j = 0$, then

$$|\mathcal{D}_\ell(n_1, \dots, n_{p+1})| \geq c(\text{third largest among } n_1, \dots, n_{p+1})^{-N_0}$$

except in the trivial case

$$p \text{ odd}, \ell = \frac{p+1}{2}, \{n_1, \dots, n_\ell\} = \{n_{\ell+1}, \dots, n_{p+1}\}.$$

Using the *structure* of the equation, one may check that the corresponding terms do not contribute to the energy.

3. Case of quasi-linear equations

Consider for instance $(D_t - \Lambda_m)u = |u|^2 D_x u$. The above procedure would generate a loss of one derivative in the estimates. Instead, one uses Bony's parilinearization formula

$uv = T_u v + T_v u + R(u, v)$ where

$$\widehat{T_u v} = \int_{|\xi - \eta| \ll |\eta|} \hat{u}(\xi - \eta) \hat{v}(\eta) d\eta$$

so that $T_u v$ has the same smoothness as v , and $R(u, v)$ is smoother than u, v . Our model may be written

$$(D_t - (\Lambda_m + T_{|u|^2} D_x))u = T_{D_x u} |u|^2 + R(u).$$

For (CGWE) we need to write the equation as a **paradifferential system** involving symbols that have a Taylor expansion in terms of the unknown (η, ψ) at an arbitrary order. The nonlinearity involves analytic expressions in $\partial_x \eta, \partial_x \psi$ and in $G(\eta)\psi$. One thus needs to express the Dirichlet-Neumann operator from such symbols.

3. Case of quasi-linear equations

Consider for instance $(D_t - \Lambda_m)u = |u|^2 D_x u$. The above procedure would generate a loss of one derivative in the estimates. Instead, one uses Bony's parilinearization formula

$uv = T_u v + T_v u + R(u, v)$ where

$$\widehat{T_u v} = \int_{|\xi - \eta| \ll |\eta|} \hat{u}(\xi - \eta) \hat{v}(\eta) d\eta$$

so that $T_u v$ has the same smoothness as v , and $R(u, v)$ is smoother than u, v . Our model may be written

$$(D_t - \text{Op}^{\text{BW}}(\sqrt{m^2 + \xi^2} + |u|^2 \xi))u = \text{semi-linear} + \text{smoothing terms.}$$

For (CGWE) we need to write the equation as a **paradifferential system** involving symbols that have a Taylor expansion in terms of the unknown (η, ψ) at an arbitrary order. The nonlinearity involves analytic expressions in $\partial_x \eta, \partial_x \psi$ and in $G(\eta)\psi$. One thus needs to express the Dirichlet-Neumann operator from such symbols.

3. Case of quasi-linear equations

Consider for instance $(D_t - \Lambda_m)u = |u|^2 D_x u$. The above procedure would generate a loss of one derivative in the estimates. Instead, one uses Bony's parilinearization formula

$uv = T_u v + T_v u + R(u, v)$ where

$$\widehat{T_u v} = \int_{|\xi - \eta| \ll |\eta|} \hat{u}(\xi - \eta) \hat{v}(\eta) d\eta$$

so that $T_u v$ has the same smoothness as v , and $R(u, v)$ is smoother than u, v . Our model may be written

$$(D_t - \text{Op}^{\text{BW}}(\sqrt{m^2 + \xi^2} + |u|^2 \xi))u = \text{semi-linear} + \text{smoothing terms.}$$

For (CGWE) we need to write the equation as a **paradifferential system** involving symbols that have a Taylor expansion in terms of the unknown (η, ψ) at an arbitrary order. The nonlinearity involves analytic expressions in $\partial_x \eta, \partial_x \psi$ and in $G(\eta)\psi$. One thus needs to express the Dirichlet-Neumann operator from such symbols.

One knows that if η is smooth, the Dirichlet-Neumann operator $G(\eta)$ corresponding to the Dirichlet problem in a strip $-1 \leq y \leq \eta(x)$ is a pseudo-differential operator. If η has limited regularity, it may be written as a paradifferential operator (Alazard-Métivier, Alazard-Burq-Zuily).

Here we need also an asymptotic expansion of the symbols in terms of (η, ψ) and also an expansion of the remainders. Instead of using a variational approach, we construct a Boutet de Monvel paradifferential parametrix for the Dirichlet problem.

This allows to write the equation as

$$(D_t - \text{Op}^{\text{BW}}(A(\eta, \psi; t, x, \xi))) \begin{bmatrix} \eta \\ \psi \end{bmatrix} = R(\eta, \psi) \begin{bmatrix} \eta \\ \psi \end{bmatrix}$$

where A is a matrix of symbols of para-differential operators (depending on η, ψ), $R(\eta, \psi)$ a smoothing operator, that gains ρ derivatives, and $\text{Op}^{\text{BW}}(\cdot)$ stands for Bony-Weyl quantization.

One knows that if η is smooth, the Dirichlet-Neumann operator $G(\eta)$ corresponding to the Dirichlet problem in a strip $-1 \leq y \leq \eta(x)$ is a pseudo-differential operator. If η has limited regularity, it may be written as a paradifferential operator (Alazard-Métivier, Alazard-Burq-Zuily).

Here we need also an asymptotic expansion of the symbols in terms of (η, ψ) and also an expansion of the remainders. Instead of using a variational approach, we construct a Boutet de Monvel paradifferential parametrix for the Dirichlet problem.

This allows to write the equation as

$$(D_t - \text{Op}^{\text{BW}}(A(\eta, \psi; t, x, \xi))) \begin{bmatrix} \eta \\ \psi \end{bmatrix} = R(\eta, \psi) \begin{bmatrix} \eta \\ \psi \end{bmatrix}$$

where A is a matrix of symbols of para-differential operators (depending on η, ψ), $R(\eta, \psi)$ a smoothing operator, that gains ρ derivatives, and $\text{Op}^{\text{BW}}(\cdot)$ stands for Bony-Weyl quantization.

One knows that if η is smooth, the Dirichlet-Neumann operator $G(\eta)$ corresponding to the Dirichlet problem in a strip $-1 \leq y \leq \eta(x)$ is a pseudo-differential operator. If η has limited regularity, it may be written as a paradifferential operator (Alazard-Métivier, Alazard-Burq-Zuily).

Here we need also an asymptotic expansion of the symbols in terms of (η, ψ) and also an expansion of the remainders. Instead of using a variational approach, we construct a Boutet de Monvel paradifferential parametrix for the Dirichlet problem.

This allows to write the equation as

$$(D_t - \text{Op}^{\text{BW}}(A(\eta, \psi; t, x, \xi))) \begin{bmatrix} \eta \\ \psi \end{bmatrix} = R(\eta, \psi) \begin{bmatrix} \eta \\ \psi \end{bmatrix}$$

where A is a matrix of symbols of para-differential operators (depending on η, ψ), $R(\eta, \psi)$ a smoothing operator, that gains ρ derivatives, and $\text{Op}^{\text{BW}}(\cdot)$ stands for Bony-Weyl quantization.

4. Sketch of proof

1st step: Reductions (Alazard-Métivier, Alazard-Baldi, Berti-Montalto)

One may rewrite the equation in terms of a new unknown, and perform series of reductions that bring to a new equation, in terms of a complex new unknown $U = \begin{bmatrix} u \\ \bar{u} \end{bmatrix}$, and an auxiliary \mathbb{C}^2 valued function V , expressed from U , such that $\|U\|_{\dot{H}^s} \sim \|V\|_{\dot{H}^s}$. This new equation, may be expressed in terms of **constant** coefficients operators, namely operators $a(D_x) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}a(\xi)\mathcal{F}$, where the Fourier multiplier a is either

- $m_\kappa(\xi) = (\xi \tanh \xi)^{1/2}(1 + \kappa^2\xi^2)^{1/2}$.
- $H(U; t, \xi)$ is a diagonal matrix of symbols of order one, with $\text{Im } H(U; t, \xi)$ of order zero.

One gets

$$\left(D_t - m_\kappa(D_x)(1 + \underline{\zeta}(U; t)) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} + H(U; t, D_x) \right) V = R(U)V$$

where :

- $\underline{\zeta}(U; t)$ is a function of t , *independent of x* .
- $\underline{R}(U)$ is a ρ -smoothing operator.

Moreover, these operators satisfy with $S = -\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ the

- **Reality condition:** $\overline{H(U; t, D_x)V} = -SH(U; t, D_x)S\overline{V}$, that reflects that the initial system was real valued.
- **Parity preservation condition:** $H(U; t, D_x)$ preserve even functions.
- **Reversibility condition:**
 $S[H(U; t, D_x)V] = -H(SU; t, D_x)SV$

The preceding equation implies an energy inequality:

$$\|V(t, \cdot)\|_{\dot{H}^s} \leq \|V(0, \cdot)\|_{\dot{H}^s} + C \int_0^t \|\operatorname{Im} H(U; \tau, D_x) V(\tau, \cdot)\|_{\dot{H}^s} d\tau.$$

If we knew that $\operatorname{Im} H(U; t, \xi) = O(\|U\|_{\dot{H}^s}^N)$ when $U \rightarrow 0$, we would get

$$\|V(t, \cdot)\|_{\dot{H}^s} \leq \underbrace{\|V(0, \cdot)\|_{\dot{H}^s}}_{\sim \epsilon} + C \int_0^t \|U(\tau, \cdot)\|_{\dot{H}^s}^N \|V(\tau, \cdot)\|_{\dot{H}^s} d\tau$$

which would imply an a priori bound $\|V(t, \cdot)\|_{\dot{H}^s} \leq K\epsilon$ if $t \leq c/\epsilon^N$.
The long time existence result would follow from that.

2nd step: Normal forms. One eliminates by normal forms those contributions to the symbol $\text{Im } H(U; t, \xi)$ homogeneous of degree smaller than N . One proceeds as in the model case, dividing by $\mathcal{D}_\ell(n_1, \dots, n_p) = \sum_1^\ell m_\kappa(n_j) - \sum_{\ell+1}^p m_\kappa(n_j)$, $n_j \in \mathbb{N}^*$

Lemma: If κ is outside a convenient subset of zero measure, and if one is not in the case

$$(H) \quad p \text{ even, } \ell = p/2, \{n_1, \dots, n_\ell\} = \{n_{\ell+1}, \dots, n_p\}$$

then

$$|\mathcal{D}_\ell(n_1, \dots, n_p)| \geq c(n_1 + \dots + n_p)^{-N_0}$$

for some c, N_0 .

This allows to solve the equation $\mathcal{D}_\ell(\dots)B_p(\dots) = i\text{Im } H_p(\dots)$, except in the case (H). But then

$$\text{Im } H_p(\Pi_{n_1}^+ U, \dots, \Pi_{n_\ell}^+ U, \Pi_{n_{\ell+1}}^- U, \dots, \Pi_{n_p}^- U; t, \xi) \equiv 0$$

as a consequence of the **reality, parity preservation** and **reversibility** conditions.

2nd step: Normal forms. One eliminates by normal forms those contributions to the symbol $\text{Im } H(U; t, \xi)$ homogeneous of degree smaller than N . One proceeds as in the model case, dividing by $\mathcal{D}_\ell(n_1, \dots, n_p) = \sum_1^\ell m_\kappa(n_j) - \sum_{\ell+1}^p m_\kappa(n_j)$, $n_j \in \mathbb{N}^*$

Lemma: If κ is outside a convenient subset of zero measure, and if one is **not** in the case

$$(H) \quad p \text{ even, } \ell = p/2, \{n_1, \dots, n_\ell\} = \{n_{\ell+1}, \dots, n_p\}$$

then

$$|\mathcal{D}_\ell(n_1, \dots, n_p)| \geq c(n_1 + \dots + n_p)^{-N_0}$$

for some c, N_0 .

This allows to solve the equation $\mathcal{D}_\ell(\dots)B_p(\dots) = i\text{Im } H_p(\dots)$, except in the case (H). But then

$$\text{Im } H_p(\Pi_{n_1}^+ U, \dots, \Pi_{n_\ell}^+ U, \Pi_{n_{\ell+1}}^- U, \dots, \Pi_{n_p}^- U; t, \xi) \equiv 0$$

as a consequence of the **reality, parity preservation** and **reversibility** conditions.

2nd step: Normal forms. One eliminates by normal forms those contributions to the symbol $\text{Im } H(U; t, \xi)$ homogeneous of degree smaller than N . One proceeds as in the model case, dividing by $\mathcal{D}_\ell(n_1, \dots, n_p) = \sum_1^\ell m_\kappa(n_j) - \sum_{\ell+1}^p m_\kappa(n_j)$, $n_j \in \mathbb{N}^*$

Lemma: If κ is outside a convenient subset of zero measure, and if one is **not** in the case

$$(H) \quad p \text{ even, } \ell = p/2, \{n_1, \dots, n_\ell\} = \{n_{\ell+1}, \dots, n_p\}$$

then

$$|\mathcal{D}_\ell(n_1, \dots, n_p)| \geq c(n_1 + \dots + n_p)^{-N_0}$$

for some c, N_0 .

This allows to solve the equation $\mathcal{D}_\ell(\dots)B_p(\dots) = i\text{Im } H_p(\dots)$, except in the case (H). But then

$$\text{Im } H_p(\Pi_{n_1}^+ U, \dots, \Pi_{n_\ell}^+ U, \Pi_{n_{\ell+1}}^- U, \dots, \Pi_{n_p}^- U; t, \xi) \equiv 0$$

as a consequence of the **reality, parity preservation** and **reversibility** conditions.